## MATH 110, HOMEWORK SOLUTION SET 1 Imre Tuba June 5, 1999

The following solutions are courtesy of Greg Leibon and Dell Kronewitter. I only compiled them together.

**p 13. 1:** Here we are looking for he steady state temperature of a slab bounded between x = 0 and x = c with faces at temperatures u = 0 and  $u = u_0$ .

The solution to this problem varies only in the x direction and as such reduces to one one the first differential equation any one ever plays with, namely:

$$\frac{d^2 u}{dx^2} = 0.$$

After integrating once we obtain  $\frac{du}{dx} = b$  and integrating again we get u(x) = bx + dfor some constants b and d. Our goal is to solve this using the boundary conditions u(0) = c0 + d = 0 (giving d = 0) and  $u(c) = bc + 0 = u_0$  (giving  $b = \frac{u_0}{c}$ ).

So plugging in, we find the solution is  $u(x) = \frac{u_0}{c}x$ .

Note now by Fourier's law ((1) on page 4)  $\Phi_0 = -K \frac{-\partial u}{\partial x} = K \frac{u_0}{c}$ . **p. 14. 4:** This problem is very similar to the first problem except with concentric spheres (of radius a and b with a < b ) bounding the region. The boundary surfaces are maintained at the constant temperatures r(a) = 0 and  $r(b) = u_0$ . So the equation describing the heat should depend only on the radius and be independent of the spherical variables  $\phi$  and  $\theta$ . Using (22) on page 13 and the fact our equation is also independent of  $\theta$  we have:

$$\Delta = r \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} (ru) + \frac{1}{\sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \sin(\theta) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} \right) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} (ru) = 0$$

Is the steady state equation.

From this we have by integrating (as in problem one) ru(r) = cr + d or  $u(r) = \frac{cr+d}{r} = c + \frac{d}{r}$ . From the boundary conditions  $u(a) = \frac{ca+d}{a} = 0$  (giving  $c = -\frac{d}{a}$ ); and  $u(b) = \frac{-\frac{d}{a}b+d}{b} = u_0$  (giving  $d = \frac{abu_0}{a-b}$ ). So  $u(r) = \frac{-bu_0}{a-b} + \frac{abu_0}{r(a-b)} = \frac{b}{a-b}(-u_0 + \frac{a}{r}).$ 

p. 14. 5: Now we we will change a boundary conditions in the previous problem ; namely, replace the boundary condition at the surface r = b with its Newton's law analog of  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} = h(T - u)$ .

Using the notation of the previous solution, we have  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}(b) = \frac{-d}{r^2}(b) = \frac{-d}{b^2} = h(T - t)$  $\left(c + \frac{d}{b}\right)$ ) (giving  $d = \frac{-hb^2aT}{a-abh+b^2h}$ ). So  $u(r) = \frac{-d}{a} + \frac{d}{r} = \frac{hb^2T}{a - abb + b^2b} + \frac{-hb^2aT}{a - abb + b^2b}\frac{1}{r}.$  $112\pi$ 

$$=\frac{hb^{-1}}{a-abh+b^{2}h}\left(1-\frac{a}{r}\right)$$

**p. 16. 13:** In this problem we have the upper-hemisphere of radius 1 with an insulated bottom (hence the boundary condition  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = 0$  when z = 0).

We want to show  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta}(r, \frac{\pi}{2}) = 0$ 

(which is clear) via the formula

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} = -\rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + z \frac{\partial u}{\partial \rho}$$

Which indeed immediately gives  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} = -\rho frac \partial u \partial z + z \frac{\partial u}{\partial \rho} = -\rho 0 + 0 \frac{\partial u}{\partial \rho} = 0$  (since when  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$  we have z = 0, which from the boundary conditions also gives that  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = 0$ ).

To show this formula use the chain rule

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \frac{\partial z}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial \rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \theta}$$

and from (16) on page 12:  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial (r \cos(\theta))}{\partial \theta} = -r \sin(\theta) = -\rho$  and  $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial (r \sin(\theta))}{\partial \theta} = r \cos(\theta) = z$ .

Together we indeed get the formula  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} = -\rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + z \frac{\partial u}{\partial \rho}$ .

**p. 22. 1:** Well the non-homogeneous wave equation is  $y_{tt} = a^2 y_{xx} - g$  ((7) on p.19) and if it's static (i.e. just resting) then  $y_t = 0$  giving  $y_{tt} = 0$  as well. So we are reduced to the equation  $a^2 y_{xx} - g = \text{ or } y_{xx} = \frac{g}{a^2}$ . The fixing of end points to the x-axis means that the boundary conditions are y(0) = 0 and y(2c) = 0.

To solve this problem integrate twice to get

$$y(x) = \frac{g}{2a^2}x^2 + bx + d$$

and plug in the end points giving 0 = y(0) = d and  $0 = y(2c) = \frac{g}{2a^2}4c^2 + 2cb$  or  $b = \frac{-gc}{a^2}$ . So the solution is

$$y(x) = \frac{g}{2a^2}x^2 - \frac{gc}{a^2}x = \frac{g}{2a^2}x(x-2c)$$

So y(x) is the needed parabola with minimum at c of depth  $\frac{-c^2g}{2a^2}$ .

**p. 22. 3:** To do this problem note that on page 12 (10) we are given the planar  $\Delta$  in polar coordinates as  $\Delta z = \frac{1}{\rho} (\rho z)_{\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho^2} z_{\theta\theta}$ . (Really we are given the cylindrical Laplacian which when restricted to the plane is this). So the static wave equation for a membrane becomes

$$0 = a^2 rac{1}{
ho} (
ho z_
ho)_
ho + rac{1}{
ho^2} z_{ heta heta}.$$

When there is nothing going on in the  $\theta$  direction (as in the problem) the equation reduces to

$$0 = a^2 \frac{1}{\rho} (\rho z_\rho)_\rho.$$

Noting the radius is never zero (and  $a \neq 0$ ) we find this is equivalent to solving

$$0 = (\rho z_{\rho})$$

with our boundary conditions are given as z(1) = 0 and  $z(\rho_0) = z_0$ .

Integrating we get  $\rho z_{rho} = b$ ; and integrating again we get $z(\rho) = b \ln(\rho) + c$ . So from the first initial condition  $0 = z(1) = b \cdot 0 + c$  giving c = 0. The second condition gives  $z_0 = z(\rho_0) = b \ln(\rho_0)$  or  $b = \frac{z_0}{\ln(\rho_0)}$ . So one gets

$$z(\rho) = \frac{z_0 \ln(\rho)}{\ln(\rho_0)}$$

as needed.

**p. 32. 1:** (a) Integrating twice and noting "constants" can depend on y gives

$$u(x, y) = x^{3}y + xh(y) + g(y).$$

So the first boundary condition gives u(0, y) = g(y) = y and  $u_x(1, y) = 3y + h(y) = 0$  gives h(y) = -3y. So the solution must be  $u(x, y) = x^3y - 3yxh + y$ .

(b)  $u_{xy}(x,y) = 2x$  gives  $u(x,y) = x^2y + g(y) + h(x)$ . So u(0,y) = g(y) + h(0) = 0 gives g(y) = -h(0) = -c and  $u(x,0) = h(x) - c = x^2$  gives  $h(x) = x^2 + c$ . So  $u(x,y) = x^2y - c + x^2 + c = x^2y + x^2$  as needed.

- **p. 32. 2.(b):**  $u_{xx} + 2x^2u_{xy} + yu_{yy} = 0$  has discriminant  $(2x^2)^2 4(y)(1) = 4x^4 4y$  and so is parabolic on  $y = x^4$  (discriminant = 0), elliptic above the curve (discriminant < 0), and hyperbolic below the curve (discriminant > 0).
- **p. 33. 4:** From p.28 (11) we know the general solution to the one dimensional wave equation on the whole line is  $y(x,t) = \phi(x+at) + \psi(x-at)$  for some twice differentiable  $\phi$  and  $\psi$ . Let  $\phi_u$  and  $\psi_u$  denote the derivatives of the functions  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  respectively. Using the initial condition y(0,x) = 0 we get  $\phi(x) = -\psi(x)$ . Similarly using  $y_t(0,x) = 0$  (and the chain rule  $\frac{d\phi(x+at)}{dt} = a\phi_u(x+at)$  and  $\frac{d\psi(x-at)}{dt} = -a\psi_u(x+at)$ ) we find

$$y_t(0,x) = a\phi_u(x) - a\psi_u(x) = 2a\phi_u(x) = g(x).$$

(Note for the second derivative of  $\phi$  to exist we must allow g to be differentiable at this point – which was not mentioned.) Now observe by the fundamental theorem of calculus that

$$\phi(x+at) - \phi(x-at) = \int_{x-at}^{x+at} \phi_u du.$$

Using the above observation that  $\phi_u(x) = \frac{g(x)}{2a}$  we achieve

$$\phi(x+at) - \phi(x-at) = \frac{1}{2a} \int_{x-at}^{x+at} g(x) dx$$

Now recalling  $\phi(x - at) = -\psi(x - at)$  and  $y(t, x) = \phi(x + at) + \psi(x - at)$ , we find

$$y(t,x) = \phi(x+at) + \psi(x-at) = \frac{1}{2a} \int_{x-at}^{x+at} g(x) dx$$

as needed.

**p. 32. 6:** Take  $u = e^{(\lambda x + \mu y)}$  and note  $u_{xx} = \lambda^2 u$ ;  $u_{xy} = \lambda \mu u$ ;  $u_{xy} = \mu^2 u$ ;  $u_x = \lambda u$ ;  $u_y = \mu u$ .

Now substitute  $u = e^{(\lambda x + \mu y)}$  into the equation  $Au_{xx} + Bu_{xy} + Cu_{yy} + Du_x + Eu_y + Fu$ and to get  $u(A\lambda^2 + B\lambda\mu + C\mu^2 + D\lambda + E\mu + F)$ . So this choice of u(x, y) satisfies the equation

$$Au_{xx} + Bu_{xy} + Cu_{yy} + Du_x + Eu_y + FU = 0$$

if and only if  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  satisfy the algebraic relationship

 $A\lambda^2 + B\lambda\mu + C\mu^2 + D\lambda + E\mu + F = 0.$ 

**p. 34.** 7: This problem requires only the remembering of some simple properties of the deri vative. Namely the second derivative is linear or  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} c_n u_n = \sum_{n=1}^{N} c_n \frac{\partial^2 u_n}{\partial x^2}$ and  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \sum_{n=1}^N c_n u_n = \sum_{n=1}^N c_n \frac{\partial^2 u_n}{\partial y^2}$ . From this observe

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta u &= \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}\right) \sum_{n=1}^N c_n u_n = \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\right) \sum_{n=1}^N c_n u_n + \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}\right) \sum_{n=1}^N c_n u_n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N c_n \frac{\partial^2 u_n}{\partial x^2} + \sum_{n=1}^N c_n \frac{\partial^2 u_n}{\partial y^2} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N c_n \left(\frac{\partial^2 u_n}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u_n}{\partial y^2}\right) = \sum_{n=1}^N c_n \Delta(u_n). \end{aligned}$$

Now let's use the fact that each  $u_n$  satisfies Laplace's equation that  $\Delta(u_n) = 0$ , giving

$$\Delta u = \sum_{n=1}^{N} c_n \Delta(u_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} c_n 0 = 0;$$

which is exactly the needed fact that u satisfies Laplace's equation. **p. 45. 1:** (a) First note that for k = 0 that  $\int_0^{\pi} \cos(kx) dx = \int_0^{\pi} \cos(0x) dx = \pi$ . Now if  $k \neq 0$ 

$$\int_0^{\pi} \cos(kx) dx = \frac{1}{k} \sin(kx) |_0^{\pi} = \sin(k\pi) - 0 = 0$$

So from the identity in the book we have

$$\int_0^{\pi} \cos(nx) \cos(mx) dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\pi} \cos((n-m)x) - \cos((n+m)x) dx$$

So using the first computations

$$\int_0^{\pi} \cos(nx) \cos(mx) dx = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \frac{\pi}{2} & n = m\\ 0 & n \neq m \end{array} \right\}.$$

(b) Here we are to show  $\{\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\} \cup \{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\}$  is an orthonormal family. From the above note that if n and m are not ze to then we have

$$\int_0^{\pi} \phi_n(x)\phi_m(x)dx = \int_0^{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cos(nx)\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cos(mx)dx = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \frac{2}{\pi}\frac{\pi}{2} = 1 & n = m0\\ \frac{2}{\pi}0 = 0 & n \neq m \end{array} \right\}.$$

To finish the problem it is necessary to see how things look when inner producted with  $\phi_0 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi}}$  For  $n \neq 0$  (from the first computation) we have  $(\phi_0, \phi_n) =$   $\int_0^{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cos(nx) dx = 0 \text{ as needed. Also } (\phi_0, \phi_0) = \int_0^{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi}} dx = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} dx = 1 \text{ as need; so the entire set is an orthonormal family.}$ 

**p.** 46. 4:  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  are orthogonal on [-1, 1] since

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \psi_1 \psi_2 x \, d = \int_{-1}^{1} x \, dx = \frac{1}{2} x^2 |_{-1}^{1} = 1 - 1 = 0$$

For  $(Bx^2 + Ax + 1, \psi_1) = 0$  implies

$$\int_{-1}^{1} Bx^2 + Ax + 1dx = 0$$

or  $\frac{2B}{3} + 2 = 0$  so b = -3. And for  $(Bx^2 + Ax + 1, \psi_1) = 0$  implies

$$\int_{-1}^{1} Bx^3 + Ax^2 + x\,dx = 0$$

or  $\frac{A}{3} = 0$ . So A = 0. So we're done.

Note: The next three problems are just computation so to make them more interesting I thought we'd take a look at some relate computations form which to derive them. First off recall our inner product is  $(\phi(x), f(x)) = \int_0^{\pi} \phi(x) f(x) dx$ . We will be computing this inner product for several functions related to  $\sin(nx)$  for n > 0 and  $\cos(nx)$  for  $n \ge 0$ .

For starters note:

$$(\cos(0x), x^m) = \int_0^\pi x^m dx = \frac{\pi^{m+1}}{m+1}$$

So we may **assume** n > 0 for our **remaining** computations. For starters when  $n \neq 0$  we have

$$(\cos(nx),1) = \int_0^\pi \cos(nx) dx = 0$$

and

$$(\sin(nx), 1) = \int_0^\pi \sin(nx) dx = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{2}{n} & n = 2k - 1\\ 0 & n = 2k \end{array} \right\}$$

Now note integration by parts gives:

$$(\cos(nx), x^m) = \int_0^\pi x^m \cos(mx) dx$$
$$= \frac{x^m}{n} \sin(nx)|_0^\pi - \frac{m}{n} \int_0^\pi x^{m-1} \sin(nx) dx = -\frac{m}{n} (x^{m-1}, \sin(nx))$$

and

$$(\sin(nx), x^m) = \int_0^\pi x^m \sin(nx) dx = -\frac{x^m}{n} \cos(nx)|_0^\pi + \frac{m}{n} \int_0^\pi x^{m-1} \cos(nx) dx$$

$$= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{\pi^m}{n} + \frac{m}{n} (x^{m-1}, \cos(nx)) & n = 2k - 1\\ \frac{-\pi^m}{n} + \frac{m}{n} (x^{m-1}, \cos(nx)) & n = 2k \end{array} \right\}.$$

Now observe by recursion theses computations give explicit numbers for  $(\sin(nx), x^m)$ and  $(\cos(nx), x^m)$  for all n and m.

As an examples of this note:

$$(\cos(nx), x) = \frac{-1}{n}(1, \sin(nx)) = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} -\frac{2}{n^2} & n = 2k - 1\\ 0 & n = 2k \end{array} \right\}$$

and

$$(\sin(nx), x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{\pi}{n} + \frac{1}{n}(1, \cos(nx)) = \frac{\pi}{n} & n = 2k - 1\\ \frac{-\pi}{n} + \frac{1}{n}(1, \cos(nx)) = \frac{-\pi}{n} & n = 2k \end{array} \right\}$$

Similarly

$$(\cos(nx), x^2) = \frac{-2}{n}(x, \sin(nx)) = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \frac{-2\pi}{n^2} & n = 2k - 1\\ \frac{2\pi}{n^2} & n = 2k \end{array} \right\}.$$

and

$$(\sin(nx), x^2) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{\pi^2}{n} + \frac{2}{n}(x, \cos(nx)) = \frac{\pi^2}{n} + \frac{-4}{n^3} & n = 2k - 1\\ \frac{\pi^2}{n} + \frac{2}{n}(x, \cos(nx)) = \frac{-\pi^2}{n} & n = 2k \end{array} \right\}$$

Our actual problem in fact involves certain related inner products, let  $a_n(f) =$ 

 $\frac{2}{\pi}(f(x), \cos(nx)) \text{ and } b_n(f) = \frac{2}{\pi}(f(x), \sin(nx)) \text{ for any } f(x).$ To use the above formulas efficiently note  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  linear namely,  $a_n(cf + g) = ca_n(f) + a_n(g)$ . This gives us in particular that  $a_n(cx^2 + dx^2 + ef) = ca_n(x^2) + da_n(x) + da_n(x)$  $ea_n(1)$ . Similarly for  $b_n$ .

**p. 56. 4:** (a)From above when  $n \neq 0$ 

$$a_n(x^2) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \frac{-2\pi}{n^2} & n = 2k - 1\\ \frac{2\pi}{n^2} & n = 2k \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \frac{-4}{n^2} & n = 2k - 1\\ \frac{4}{n^2} & n = 2k \neq 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

For n = 0 we have  $a_0 = \frac{2\pi^3}{3}$ .

$$f \sim \frac{\pi^2}{3} + 4\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n^2} \cos(nx)$$

(b)

$$b_n(x^2) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{\pi^2}{n} + \frac{-4}{n^3} & n = 2k - 1\\ \frac{\pi^2}{n} & n = 2k \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{2\pi}{n} - \frac{8}{n^3\pi} & n = 2k - 1\\ \frac{-2\pi}{n} & n = 2k \neq 0 \end{array} \right\}$$
$$f \sim 2\pi^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n\pi} - 2\frac{1 + (-1)^{n+1}}{(n\pi)^3} \right] \sin(nx)$$

p. 56. 5:

$$b_n(x(\pi - x)) = b_n(\pi x - x^2) = \pi b_n(x) - b_n(x^2)$$

$$= \frac{2}{\pi} \left\{ \pi \left\{ \frac{\pi}{n} \quad n = 2k - 1 \\ \frac{-\pi}{n} \quad n = 2k \right\} - \left\{ \frac{\pi^2}{n} + \frac{-4}{n^3} \quad n = 2k - 1 \\ \frac{-\pi^2}{n} \quad n = 2k \right\} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{2}{\pi} \left\{ \frac{\pi^2}{n} \quad n = 2k - 1 \\ \frac{-\pi^2}{n} \quad n = 2k \neq 0 \right\} - \frac{2}{\pi} \left\{ \frac{\pi^2}{n} + \frac{-4}{n^3} \quad n = 2k - 1 \\ \frac{-\pi^2}{n} \quad n = 2k \neq 0 \right\}$$

$$= \frac{2}{\pi} \left\{ \frac{4}{n^3} \quad n = 2k - 1 \\ 0 \quad n = 2k \neq 0 \right\}$$

$$f \sim \frac{8}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin((2k - 1)x)}{(2k - 1)^3}$$

**p. 56. 6:** Note that

$$b_n(\sin(x)) = (\sin(x), \sin(nx)) = \frac{\pi}{2}(\phi_n, \phi_1) = \begin{cases} 0 & n \neq 1 \\ \frac{\pi}{2} & n = 1 \end{cases}$$

 $\operatorname{So}$ 

$$f \sim \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\pi}{2} \sin(x) = \sin(x)$$

Note: For the next four problems we'll be looking for the Fourier series of various functions. This means expressing nicely  $f \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (f, \phi_n) \phi_n$  where the  $\phi_n$  are the elements of the orthonormal family

$$\{\phi_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\} \cup \{\phi_{2k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\sin(kx)\}_{k=1}^\infty \cup \{\phi_{2k-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\cos(kx)\}_{k=1}^\infty.$$

To do this observe  $(f, \phi_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}(f, 1), (f, \phi_{2k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}(f, \sin(kx)), \text{ and } (f, \phi_{2k-1}) =$  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}(f,\cos(kx))$ . So we will always compute these then plug into the above formula. **p. 65. 1:** 

$$(f,1) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x)dx = \frac{-\pi}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{0} dx + \frac{\pi}{2} \int_{0}^{\pi} dx = 0$$
  
$$(f,\sin(kx)) = \frac{-\pi}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{0} \sin(kx)dx + \frac{\pi}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{0} \sin(kx)dx$$
  
$$= \frac{\pi}{2k} (1 - \cos(k\pi)) + \frac{-\pi}{2k} (\cos(k\pi)) - 1) = 2\frac{\pi}{2k} (1 - \cos(k\pi)) [= \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \frac{2\pi}{k} & k = 2l - 1\\ 0 & n = 2l \end{array} \right\}.$$
  
Similarly

ĿŊ

$$(f, \cos(kx)) = \frac{-\pi}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{0} \cos(kx) dx + \frac{\pi}{2} \int_{0}^{\pi} \cos(kx) dx$$

$$=\frac{-\pi}{2k}0+\frac{-\pi}{2k}0=0$$

 $\operatorname{So}$ 

$$f \sim \sum_{l=l}^{\infty} (f, \phi_n) \phi_n = 0 + \sum_{l=l}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \sin((2l-1)x) \frac{2\pi}{2l-1} = \sum_{l=l}^{\infty} 2 \frac{\sin((2l-1)x)}{2l-1}$$

p. 66. 2: First note the function is

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rrr} \frac{2}{\pi}x+2 & -\pi & \leq x \leq 0\\ 2 & 0 \leq x \leq \pi \end{array}\right\}.$$

 $\mathbf{So}$ 

$$(f,1) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) dx = \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \int_{-\pi}^{0} (\frac{2}{\pi}x+2) dx + \left[ 2 \int_{0}^{\pi} dx \right] \right) = 3$$
$$b_{k} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \sin(kx) dx = \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \int_{-\pi}^{0} (\frac{2}{\pi}x+2) \sin(kx) dx + 2 \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin(kx) dx \right)$$

Then we have  $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin(nx) dx = 0$  so

$$=\frac{2}{\pi^2}\int_{-\pi}^0 x\sin(kx)dx$$

and so with integration by parts we arrive at

$$=\frac{2(-1)^{k+1}}{\pi k}$$

$$a_k = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \cos(kx) dx = \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \int_{-\pi}^{0} \left(\frac{2}{\pi}x + 2\right) \cos(kx) dx + 2 \int_{0}^{\pi} \cos(kx) dx \right)$$

The terms not multiplied by x above evaluate to 0 so we are left with

$$\frac{2}{\pi^2} \left( \int_{-\pi}^0 x \cos(kx) dx \right)$$

which by integration by parts gives us

$$0 + 0 + \frac{2}{\pi^2} \left(\frac{\cos(kx)}{k^2}\right) \Big|_{-\pi}^0 = \frac{2}{\pi^2 k^2} \left((-1)^k + 1\right)$$

p. 66. 4:

$$(f,1) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ax} dx = \frac{2}{a} \frac{(e^{\pi a} - e^{-\pi a})}{2} = \frac{2\sinh(a\pi)}{a}$$

$$(f, \cos(kx)) + i(f, \sin(kx)) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ax} \cos(kx) dx + \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ax} i \sin(kx) dx = \int_{-\pi}^{0} e^{ax} e^{ikx} dx$$
$$= \int_{-\pi}^{0} e^{(a+ik)x} dx = \frac{1}{a+ik} (e^{(a+ik)\pi} - e^{-(a+ik)pi})$$

$$= \frac{a - ik}{(a - ik)(a + ik)} \left( e^{a\pi} (\cos(k\pi) + i\sin(k\pi) - e^{-a\pi} (\cos(k\pi) - i\sin(k\pi)) \right)$$
$$= \frac{a - ik}{a^2 + k^2} ((e^{a\pi} - e^{-a\pi})\cos(k\pi)) = \frac{2}{a^2 + k^2} (a - ik)((\sinh(a\pi))(-1)^k)$$
$$= \frac{2a}{a^2 + k^2} (\sinh(a\pi))(-1)^k + i\left(\frac{-2k}{a^2 + k^2} (\sinh(a\pi))(-1)^k\right)$$
So

$$f \sim \sum (f, \phi_n) \phi_n$$

$$=\frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{2\sinh(a\pi)}{a} + \frac{1}{\pi}\sum_{k=l}^{\infty}\frac{2a}{a^2+k^2}(\sinh(a\pi))(-1)^k\cos(kx) + \frac{-2k}{a^2+k^2}(\sinh(a\pi))(-1)^k\sin(kx)$$

$$= \frac{2\sinh(a\pi)}{\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{2a} + \sum_{k=l}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{a^2 + k^2} (a\cos(kx) - k\sin(kx)) \right]$$

p. 66. 5: This problem is an immediate consequence if the previous since

$$(\sinh(ax),\phi_k) = (\frac{e^{ax} - e^{-ax}}{2},\phi_k) = \frac{1}{2}((e^{ax},\phi_k) - (e^{-ax},\phi_k))$$

So form the previous problem (or use the previous problem and that  $\sinh(x)$  is odd).

$$(\sinh(ax),\cos(kx)+i\sin(kx)) = i\left(\frac{-2k}{a^2+k^2}(\sinh(a\pi))(-1)^k\right)$$

Also by oddness

$$f \sim \sum (f, \phi_n) \phi_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{-2k}{a^2 + k^2} (\sinh(a\pi)) (-1)^k \sin(kx) \right)$$

**p. 80. 2:** Since on  $[0, \pi]$  we have  $\sin(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} - \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2nx)}{4n^2 - 1}$  (p. 79 or result 1 in the next section) evaluating at zero

$$0 = \frac{2}{\pi} - \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4n^2 - 1}$$

or

$$\frac{1}{2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4n^2 - 1}$$

Evaluatin at  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  we have

$$1 = \frac{2}{\pi} - \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{4n^2 - 1}$$

or

$$-\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{1}{2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{4n^2 - 1}$$

\_