## MATH 315 FINAL EXAM SOLUTIONS May 11, 2015

1. (10 pts) Let V be a vector space. Is the operation of addition on the subspaces of V associative? In other words, if  $U_1, U_2, U_3$  are subspaces of V, is

$$(U_1 + U_2) + U_3 = U_1 + (U_2 + U_3)?$$

(Hint: Subspaces are sets. Think carefully about what it means to show that two sets are equal.)

We will prove it is. Let  $U_1, U_2, U_3$  be subspaces of V. To prove  $(U_1+U_2)+U_3 = U_1+(U_2+U_3)$ , we need to show  $(U_1+U_2)+U_3 \subseteq U_1+(U_2+U_3)$  and  $U_1+(U_2+U_3) \subseteq (U_1+U_2)+U_3$ . Let  $v \in (U_1+U_2)+U_3$ . Then  $v = (u_1+u_2)+u_3$  for some  $u_1 \in U_1, u_2 \in U_2$ , and  $u_3 \in U_3$ . By associativity of addition on V,

$$v = (u_1 + u_2) + u_3 = u_1 + (u_2 + u_3) \in U_1 + (U_2 + U_3).$$

This is true for all  $v \in (U_1 + U_2) + U_3$ , so  $(U_1 + U_2) + U_3 \subseteq U_1 + (U_2 + U_3)$ . A symmetric argument shows  $U_1 + (U_2 + U_3) \subseteq (U_1 + U_2) + U_3$ .

2. (10 pts) Suppose U and W are subspaces of the vector space V such that  $V = U \oplus W$ Suppose also that  $u_1, \ldots, u_m$  is a basis of U and  $w_1, \ldots, w_n$  is a basis of W. Prove that

$$u_1,\ldots,u_m,w_1,\ldots,w_n$$

is a basis of V.

We will first show that any vector in U+W is a linear combination of the  $u_1, \ldots, u_m, w_1, \ldots, w_n$ . Let  $v \in U+W$ . So v = u + w for some  $u \in U$  and  $w \in W$ . Since  $u_1, \ldots, u_m$  span U and  $w_1, \ldots, w_n$  span W, we can write

$$u = \alpha_1 u_1 + \dots + \alpha_m u_m$$
$$w = \beta_1 w_1 + \dots + \beta_n w_n$$

for some  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n \in F$ . Hence

 $v = \alpha_1 u_1 + \dots + \alpha_m u_m + \beta_1 w_1 + \dots + \beta_n w_n.$ 

Now, to show that  $u_1, \ldots, u_m, w_1, \ldots, w_n$  is linearly independent, suppose

 $\alpha_1 u_1 + \dots + \alpha_m u_m + \beta_1 w_1 + \dots + \beta_n w_n = 0.$ 

Then the vector

 $v = \alpha_1 u_1 + \dots + \alpha_m u_m = -\beta_1 w_1 - \dots - \beta_n w_n$ 

is both in U and W. Since U + W is direct,  $U \cap W = \{0\}$ . So v = 0. Now,  $\alpha_i = 0$  for all i by the linear independence of  $u_1, \ldots, u_m$  and  $\beta_j = 0$  for all j by the linear independence of  $w_1, \ldots, w_n$ .

3. (10 pts) Let V be a vector space over the field F. Show that V and  $\mathcal{L}(F, V)$  are isomorphic vector spaces.

For a  $v \in V$ , define the map  $T_v : F \to V$  by  $T_v(\alpha) = \alpha v$ . It is quite clear that  $T_v$  is linear. Now, define  $\phi : V \to \mathcal{L}(F, V)$  by  $\phi(v) = T_v$ . To see that  $\phi$  is linear, note that

$$T_{u+v}(\alpha) = \alpha(u+v) = \alpha u + \alpha v = T_u(\alpha) + T_v(\alpha),$$

and

$$T_{\lambda v}(\alpha) = \alpha(\lambda v) = (\alpha \lambda)v = (\lambda \alpha)v = \lambda(\alpha v) = \lambda T_v(\alpha)$$

by the usual properties of vector spaces and fields.

We will show  $\phi$  has an inverse. Define  $\sigma : \mathcal{L}(F, V) \to V$  by  $\sigma(S) = S(1)$ . If  $S \in \mathcal{L}(F, V)$ , let  $v = \sigma(S) = S(1)$ . Notice that for any  $\alpha \in F$ ,

$$S(\alpha) = S(\alpha 1) = \alpha S(1) = \alpha v = T_v(\alpha).$$

Hence  $S = T_v = \phi(v)$ . This shows  $\phi \circ \sigma = 1_{\mathcal{L}(F,V)}$ . Now, if  $v \in V$ , then

$$\sigma(\phi(v)) = \sigma(T_v) = T_v(1) = 1v = v.$$

Hence  $\sigma \circ \phi = 1_V$ . Therefore  $\phi$  is an isomorphism from V to  $\mathcal{L}(F, V)$ .

- 4. (10 pts) Prove the Linear Dependence Lemma: If V is a vector space and v<sub>1</sub>,..., v<sub>m</sub> is a linearly dependent list of vectors in V, then there exists a 1 ≤ j ≤ m such that
  (a) v<sub>j</sub> ∈ span(v<sub>1</sub>,..., v<sub>j-1</sub>), and
  - (b) if  $v_i$  is removed from  $v_1, \ldots, v_m$  then the span of the remaining list equals  $\operatorname{span}(v_1, \ldots, v_m)$ .

(To be clear, note that in (a), if j = 1,  $v_1 \in \text{span}(\emptyset)$  means  $v_1 = 0$  since the span of the empty set/list is by definition  $\{0\}$ .)

See Proposition 2.21 in your textbook.

5. (a) (2 pts) Define what a linear map is.

If V and W are vector spaces over the same field F, then a map  $T: V \to W$  is linear if it satisfies the following two properties:

Additivity: T(u+v) = T(u) + T(v) for all  $u, v \in V$ ,

**Homegeneity:**  $T(\lambda v) = \lambda T(v)$  for all  $\lambda \in F$  and for all  $v \in V$ .

(b) (4 pts) Prove a linear map  $T: V \to W$  is injective if and only if  $\operatorname{null}(T) = \{0\}$ .

See Proposition 3.16 in your textbook.

(c) (4 pts) Give an example of a linear map  $T: V \to V$  that is injective but not surjective. Be sure to fully justify your example. (Hint: Is such a map possible if V is finite dimensional?)

Let  $V = F^{\infty}$  over F and let  $T: V \to V$  be the right shift map, i.e.

$$T(x_1, x_2, \ldots) = (0, x_1, x_2, \ldots).$$

We verified in class that T is a linear map. Now, if  $x = (x_1, x_2, ...)$  and  $y = (y_1, y_2, ...)$ 

 $T(x) = T(y) \implies (0, x_1, x_2, \ldots) = (0, y_1, y_2, \ldots) \implies x_i = y_i \; \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$ 

Hence x = y. So T is injective. But T is clearly not surjective as any sequence whose first element is nonzero is not in the range.

(d) (10 pts) Prove that Fundamental Theorem of Linear Maps: If V, W are vector spaces, V is finite dimensional, and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  then

$$\dim(V) = \dim(\operatorname{null}(T)) + \dim(\operatorname{range}(T)).$$

See Proposition 3.22 in your textbook.

6. Extra credit problem. Let V be a vector space over some field F and U, W subspaces of V. In this problem, you will prove that  $(U + W)/W \cong U/(U \cap W)$ . I will help you by breaking this down into a few steps.

(a) (3 pts) First, define the map  $T: U + W \to U/(U \cap W)$  by  $T(u + w) = u + (U \cap W)$ . Notice that while every vector  $v \in U + W$  can be expressed as u + w for some  $u \in U$ and some  $w \in W$ , this expression is not unique in general. So depending on the choice you make for u and w, T(v) could potentially give you different results. I.e. T may not be well-defined. Show that T is in fact well-defined.

Let  $v \in U + W$  and suppose  $v = u_1 + w_1 = u_2 + w_2$  are two different ways of writing vas an element of U plus an element of W. We need to show that either gives the same result when applying T to it. That is we want to show  $u_1 + (U \cap W) = u_2 + (U \cap W)$ . This is so if  $u_1 - u_2 \in (U \cap W)$ , that is  $u_1 - u_2$  is both in U and in W. It is clear that  $u_1 - u_2 \in U$ . Notice

 $u_1 + w_1 = u_2 + w_2 \implies u_1 - u_2 = w_2 - w_1 \in W.$ 

So  $u_1 - u_2 \in U \cap W$  and hence  $u_1 + (U \cap W) = u_2 + (U \cap W)$ .

(b) (4 pts) Prove that T is a linear map.

Let  $v_1, v_2 \in U + W$ . Then  $v_1 = u_1 + w_1$  and  $v_2 = u_2 + w_2$  for some  $u_1, u_2 \in U$  and  $w_1, w_2 \in W$ . Notice that  $v_1 + v_2 = (u_1 + u_2) + (w_1 + w_2)$  where  $u_1 + u_2 \in U$  and  $w_1 + w_2 \in W$ . So

 $T(v_1 + v_2) = u_1 + u_2 + (U \cap W) = u_1 + (U \cap W) + u_2 + (U \cap W) = T(v_1) + T(v_2).$ 

Now, let  $v \in U + W$  and  $\lambda \in F$ . Then v = u + w and  $\lambda v = \lambda u + \lambda w$  where  $\lambda u \in U$  and  $\lambda w \in W$ . So

$$T(\lambda v) = \lambda u + (U \cap W) = \lambda (u + (U \cap W)) = \lambda T(v).$$

(c) (2 pts) Prove that T is surjective.

Let  $u + (U \cap W) \in U/(U \cap W)$ . Then  $u = u + 0 \in U + W$  and  $T(u) = u + (U \cap W)$ . So any element of  $U/(U \cap W)$  is in the range of T.

(d) (4 pts) Prove that  $\operatorname{null}(T) = W$ .

First, if  $w \in W$  then  $w = 0 + w \in U + W$ , so  $T(w) = 0 + (U \cap W)$ . This shows  $W \subseteq \operatorname{null}(T)$ . Now, suppose  $v \in \operatorname{null}(T)$ . Since  $v \in U + W$ , v = u + w. That  $v \in \operatorname{null}(T)$  means  $T(v) = 0 + (U \cap W)$ . But  $T(v) = u + (U \cap W)$  as well. Hence

$$u + (U \cap W) = 0 + (U \cap W) \implies u - 0 \in (U \cap W) \implies u \in W.$$

Hence  $v = u + w \in W$ . This shows  $\operatorname{null}(T) \subseteq W$ . So  $\operatorname{null}(T) = W$ .

(e) (2 pts) Conclude that the map  $\tilde{T}: (U+W)/W \to U/(U \cap W)$  defined by

$$\tilde{T}((u+w)+W) = u + (U \cap W)$$

is well-defined and is an isomorphism. Hence  $(U+W)/W \cong U/(U \cap W)$ .

To see that  $\tilde{T}$  is well defined, we need to check that if  $v_1, v_2 \in U + W$  such that  $v_1 + W = v_2 + W$  then  $\tilde{T}(v_1 + W) = \tilde{T}(v_2 + W)$ . First, notice that for any  $v \in U + W$ ,  $\tilde{T}(v + W) = T(v)$ . If  $v_1 + W = v_2 + W$  then  $v_1 - v_2 \in W = \operatorname{null}(T)$ , so

$$\tilde{T}(v_1 + W) - \tilde{T}(v_2 + W) = T(v_1) - T(v_2) = T(v_1 - v_2) = 0 + (U \cap W).$$

Hence  $T(v_1 + W) = T(v_2 + W)$ .

By Proposition 3.91 range( $\tilde{T}$ ) = range(T) = W and hence  $\tilde{T}$  is an isomorphism  $(U + W)/W \rightarrow U/(U \cap W)$ .