

# Lecture notes for Math 510

4/10/09

These notes grew out of Prof. David Whitman's at the San Diego campus of SDSU. I have made some changes, added in some details, expanded some arguments, and added the section titled "Why axiomatic geometry?"

This is the instructor version. The student version has some of the proofs missing.

## 1. LINEAR ORDER

We are going to use the following terms without defining them: point, line, between. We will instead give a set of axioms that describe their behavior.

We will use capital letters to denote points and lower-case letters to denote lines.

**Axiom 0.** *A line is a set of points.*

**Axiom 1.** *There exist at least one point and one line.*

**Axiom 2.** *Every line contains at least two distinct points.*

**Axiom 3.** *Any two distinct points have a unique line containing them.*

Notation: Let  $ABC$  denote that the point  $B$  is between  $A$  and  $C$ .

**Axiom 4.** *If  $ABC$  then  $CBA$ .*

**Axiom 5.** *If  $ABC$  is true, then  $BAC$  is not true.*

**Theorem 1.** *If  $ABC$  is true then the following are false:  $BAC$ ,  $CAB$ ,  $ACB$ , and  $BCA$ .*

*Proof.* The first of these follows directly from Axiom 5.

Now if  $CAB$  were true, then  $BAC$  would also have to be true by Axiom 4. But we know  $BAC$  is false, so  $CAB$  must be false too.

Using Axiom 4, we get  $CBA$ . Applying Axiom 5 to  $CBA$ , we find that  $BCA$  must be false.

Now if  $ACB$  were true, then  $BCA$  would also have to be true by Axiom 4. But we just proved that  $BCA$  is false. Hence  $ACB$  must be false too.  $\square$

In the process of proving this theorem, we learned the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** *If  $ABC$  is false then  $CBA$  is false.*

*Proof.* Suppose on the contrary that  $CBA$  is true. Then  $ABC$  must also be true by Axiom 4. This is a contradiction, so  $CBA$  must be false.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $A, B \in k$  and  $A, B \in l$ . If  $k \neq l$ , then  $A = B$ .*

*Proof.* Axiom 3 says that if  $A = B$  then there is only one line through them. Hence if  $k \neq l$ , then  $A$  and  $B$  must not be distinct.  $\square$

**Definition 1.** We say that three points  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  are *collinear* if there is a line that contains all three.

Note that this definition does not require that the three points be distinct.

**Axiom 6.** *If  $ABC$  then  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  are distinct and collinear.*

You may have noticed that we already know from the definition that if  $ABC$  then  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  are collinear.

**Axiom 7.** *If  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  are distinct and collinear then  $ABC$  or  $BAC$  or  $ACB$  must be true.*