1. (5 pts) Let a, b, c be integers. Show that if b|a and $b \nmid c$ then $b \nmid (a + c)$.

Let b|a. We will prove the contrapositive: if b|(a + c) then b|c. Since b|a and b|(a + c), there exist $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that a = mb and a + c = nb. Hence

$$c = (c + a) - a = nb - mb = (n - m)b.$$

Since $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, n - m \in \mathbb{Z}$. So b|c.

2. (10 pts) Perhaps a more natural definition of the greatest common divisor is the following:

Definition 1. Let a and b be integers, not both 0. An integer d is called the *greatest* common divisor of a and b if

- (a) d|a and d|b,
- (b) c|a and c|b implies $d \ge c$.

Show that this definition is equivalent to

Definition 1.1.5. Let a and b be integers, not both 0. An integer d > 0 is called the greatest common divisor of a and b if

- (a) d|a and d|b,
- (b) c|a and c|b implies c|d.

Suppose d_1 satisfies Definition 1 and d_2 satisfies Definition 1.1.5. We will prove that $d_1 = d_2$.

First, observe that $d_1 > 0$ because 1|a and 1|b implies $1 \le d_1$. Since $d_1|a$ and $d_1|b$, by Definition 1.1.5(b), $d_1|d_2$. Hence $d_2 = nd_1$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since d_1 and d_2 are both positive, so is n. Also, since $d_2|a$ and $d_2|b$, by Definition 1(b), $d_2 \le d_1$. So

$$d_1 \le nd_1 = d_2 \le d_1.$$

Hence the \leq 's are equalities, and $d_1 = d_2$.

3. (10 pts) Prove that there exist infinitely many prime numbers of the form 4m + 3, where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

This can be shown similarly to Euclid's proof of the infinitude of primes. Suppose there are finitely many such primes p_1, \ldots, p_k . Let $a = 4p_1 \cdots p_k - 1$. Then $p_i \nmid a$. This is because $p_i \mid a + 1$ so if $p_i \mid a$ then $p_i \mid (a + 1) - a = 1$. Now, a is of the form 4m + 3. Since $a \neq p_i$ for any i, a cannot be prime. Then a must have some prime factor. Obviously, a is odd. So its only prime factors must be of the form 4m + 1, that is congruent to 1 modulo 4. But the product of numbers congruent to 1 modulo 4 is also 1 modulo 4. Hence no such product can be equal to a. Now, we have a contradiction.

4. (10 pts) Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Prove that p is prime if and only if it satisfies the following property: for all $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ if p|ab then either p|a or p|b.

See Lemma 1.2.5 in your textbook.

5. Let
$$n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$$

(a) (3 pts) State the definition of a zero divisor in \mathbb{Z}_n .

An element [a] of \mathbb{Z}_n is a zero divisor if [a][b] = [0] for some nonzero congruence class [b] of \mathbb{Z}_n .

(b) (2 pts) Choose an $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that \mathbb{Z}_n has a zero divisor and give an example of a zero divisor in this \mathbb{Z}_n . Be sure to justify your example.

In \mathbb{Z}_4 , [2][2] = [0]. Hence [2] is a zero divisor in \mathbb{Z}_4 .

(c) (10 pts) Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Prove that [a] is a zero divisor in \mathbb{Z}_n if and only if n and a are not relatively prime. Obviously, you are not allowed to use Proposition 1.4.5 or its variant which we gave in class, because they say exactly this.

Suppose that gcd(a, n) = d > 1. Let b = n/d. Then $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $1 \le b < n$. So $[b] \ne [0]$. Now

$$ab = a\frac{n}{d} = \frac{a}{d}n.$$

Since $a/d \in \mathbb{Z}$, n|ab. Hence [a][b] = [0]. Suppose that gcd(a, n) = 1. Then 1 = sa + tn for some $b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence [s][a] = [sa] = [1 - tn] = [1]. If [a][b] = [0], then

$$[0] = [s][0] = [s]([a][b]) = ([s][a])[b] = [b].$$

So [a] cannot be a zero divisor.

- 6. (10 pts) Extra credit problem. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.
 - (a) Prove that if for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, the congruence equation $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{n}$ has more than two distinct solutions, then \mathbb{Z}_n contains at least one nonzero equivalence class [b] which does not have a multiplicative inverse.

Let a be such that $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{n}$ has more than two distinct solutions. Let b_1, b_2, b_3 be distinct solutions. Then

$$b_1^2 \equiv a \equiv b_2^2 \pmod{n} \implies (b_1 + b_2)(b_1 - b_2) \equiv b_1^2 - b_2^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$

Since b_1 and b_2 are distinct $b_1 - b_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. Now there are two possibilities. If $b_1 + b_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$, then $[b_1 + b_2] \neq [0]$ and is a zero divisor. Hence it cannot have a multiplicative inverse (by Proposition 1.4.5(b)) and we are done.

If $b_1 + b_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$, then we consider $b_1 + b_3$. If $b_1 + b + 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ then

$$b_1 + b_2 \equiv b_1 + b_3 \pmod{n} \implies b_2 \equiv b_3 \pmod{n}$$

But we know this is not the case. So $b_1 + b_3 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. But

$$b_1^2 \equiv a \equiv b_3^2 \pmod{n} \implies (b_1 + b_3)(b_1 - b_3) \equiv b_1^2 - b_3^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$

Since $b_1 - b_3 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ either, $[b_1 + b_3] \neq [0]$ is a zero divisor. Hence it cannot have a multiplicative inverse.

(b) Is the converse of the statement in (a) true? If so, prove it. If not, give a counterexample.

The converse is false. \mathbb{Z}_4 contains the zero divisor [2] since [2][2] = [0]. But no congruence equation of the form $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{n}$ has more than two solutions in \mathbb{Z}_4 :

- $x^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ has solutions x = 0 and x = 2,
- $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ has solutions x = 1 and x = 3,
- $x^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ has no solutions,
- $x^2 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ has no solutions.