## MATH 524 FINAL EXAM SOLUTIONS May 14, 2013

1. (10 pts) Prove or give a counterexample: if  $U_1, U_2, W$  are subspaces of V such that

$$V = U_1 \oplus W$$
 and  $V = U_2 \oplus W$ 

then  $U_1 = U_2$ .

Here is a counterexample. Let  $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $U_1$  the x-axis,  $U_2$  the y-axis, and  $W = \{(x, x) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . We will show that  $U_1 + W = V$  and  $U_2 + W = V$ .

Since  $U_1, W \subseteq V, U_1 + W \subseteq V$ . Let v = (x, y) in V. Then

$$v = \underbrace{(x - y, 0)}_{\in U_1} + \underbrace{(y, y)}_{\in U_2} \in U_1 + W.$$

So  $V \subseteq U_1 + W$ . Hence  $U_1 + W = V$ . That the sum is direct follows from  $U_1 \cap W = \{(0,0)\}$ . An analogous argument shows that  $V = U_2 \oplus W$ . So  $U_1 \oplus W = U_2 \oplus W$ , but obviously  $U_1 \neq U_2$ .

2. (10 pts) Let U be the subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^5$  defined by

$$U = \{ (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) \in \mathbb{R}^5 : x_1 = 3x_2 \text{ and } x_3 = 7x_4 \}.$$

Find a basis of U.

Notice that any vector in  $u \in U$  is of the form  $(3x_2, x_2, 7x_4, x_4, x_5)$  where  $x_2, x_4, x_5 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore

$$u = x_2(3, 1, 0, 0, 0) + x_4(0, 0, 7, 1, 0) + x_5(0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$$

and

$$U = \operatorname{span} \left( (3, 1, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 7, 1, 0), (0, 0, 0, 0, 1) \right).$$

Observe that

$$(0,0,0,0,0) = \alpha_1(3,1,0,0,0) + \alpha_2(0,0,7,1,0) + \alpha_3(0,0,0,0,1)$$
$$= (3\alpha_1,\alpha_1,7\alpha_2,\alpha_2,\alpha_3)$$

implies  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 0$ . So these vectors are linearly independent. Therefore they form a basis of U.

3. (10 pts) Suppose that  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is such that every vector in V is an eigenvector of T. Prove that T is a scalar multiple of the identity operator.

We are asked to prove that there exists a scalar  $\lambda$  such that  $T(v) = \lambda v$  for all  $v \in V$ . We already know that for every  $v \in V$  there is a scalar  $\lambda_v$  such that  $T(v) = \lambda_v v$ . But we need to prove that these  $\lambda_v$ 's are the same and do not depend on v.

If V is  $\{0\}$  or V has only one nonzero vector in it, then the statement is obviously true. So let  $v \neq u$  be nonzero vectors in V. We know that there exist  $\lambda_v, \lambda_u \in F$  such that  $T(v) = \lambda_v v$  and  $T(u) = \lambda_u u$ . Suppose  $\lambda_v \neq \lambda_u$ . Now, we also know that there exists  $\lambda_{v+u} \in F$  such that  $T(v+u) = \lambda_{v+u}(v+u)$ . But by linearity,

$$T(v+u) = T(v) + T(u) = \lambda_v v + \lambda_u u$$

Hence

$$\lambda_v v + \lambda_u u = \lambda_{v+u}(v+u) = \lambda_{v+u}v + \lambda_{v+u}u$$

So

$$0 = (\lambda_{v+u} - \lambda_v)v + (\lambda_{v+u} - \lambda_u)u.$$

But eigenvectors that correspond to distinct eigenvalues are linearly independent. So the above equality can only hold if  $\lambda_{v+u} - \lambda_v = 0$  and  $\lambda_{v+u} - \lambda_u = 0$ . Hence  $\lambda_v = \lambda_{v+u} = \lambda_u$ . But this contradicts  $\lambda_v \neq \lambda_u$ .

- 4. (10 pts) Let V be a finite dimensional vector space and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, V)$ . Prove that the following are equivalent:
  - (a) T is bijective,
  - (b) T is injective,
  - (c) T is surjective.

This is Theorem 3.21 in your textbook.

5. (10 pts) Let V and W be vector spaces such that  $\dim(V) < \infty$ . Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ . Prove that the range of T is finite dimensional and

 $\dim(V) = \dim(\operatorname{null}(T)) + \dim(\operatorname{range}(T)).$ 

This is Theorem 3.4 in your textbook.

6. (10 pts) Prove that every linear operator on a finite dimensional complex vector space has an eigenvalue.

This is Theorem 5.10 in your textbook.

7. (10 pts) **Extra credit problem.** Prove that  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R}) = \infty$ . (Hint: prove that you can build as long a linearly independent list as you want from the square roots of prime numbers.)

If you follow the hint, you can argue that

$$(\sqrt{2},\sqrt{3},\sqrt{5},\sqrt{7},\ldots)$$

is as long a linearly independent list as you want. If  $\mathbb{R}$  were finite dimensional over  $\mathbb{Q}$  then it would have a finite spanning list and no linearly independent list could be longer than that spanning list. The trick here is to prove the linear independence of the list above. I will leave that challenge to you.

Here is another approach, if you know about countable and uncountable sets. Suppose  $\mathbb{R}$  is finite dimensional over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $\mathbb{R}$  has a basis  $(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$ . Every vector in  $\mathbb{R}$  can then be expressed uniquely as  $\alpha_1 v_1 \cdots \alpha_n v_n$  where  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{Q}$ . But the number of elements in the set

$$\{\alpha_1 v_1 \cdots \alpha_n v_n \mid \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{Q}\}\$$

is the same as in the set

$$\underbrace{\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Q}}_{n \text{ times}} = \mathbb{Q}^n,$$

which is countable because it is a finite Cartesian product of countable sets. But  $\mathbb{R}$  is not countable.

Finally, here is yet another approach if you know about transcendental numbers. A transcendental number is an irrational number that is not a root of any nonzero polynomial with rational coefficients. For example,  $\pi$  is a well-known example of a transcendental number. Hence

$$(1, \pi, \pi^2, \pi^3, \ldots)$$

is a linearly independent list that you can make as long as you want. Hence  $\mathbb{R}$  cannot be finite dimensional over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .